



## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTO	DR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/519,008	03/03/00	MCAULAY		R	21920-708
			7		EXAMINER
021971 TM02/1002 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI			_	MORSE, G	i
650 PAGE MI				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALTO C	A 94304-105	) .		2167	
				DATE MAILED:	10/02/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/519,008

Applicant(s)

McAulay et al.

Examiner

**Greg Morse** 

Art Unit **2167** 



		T (BATEL 1) SIT SELECT LABOR 1991
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
A SHO	or Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
aft - If the	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,	ra reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
- If NO co - Failur - Any i	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory permunication.  The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
	rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status 1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This act	tion is non-final.
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio
5)□	Claim(s)	
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u>	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8)□	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requiremen
Applica	ation Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
13)□	under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p $\square$ All b) $\square$ Some* c) $\square$ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
·	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application No
	application from the International Bure	
*5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	
14)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachn	nent(s)	
15) 💢 (21	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) 🔲 I	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) 🔽 1	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).5, 6	20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/519,008 Page 2

Art Unit: 2167

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Applicant's claim to domestic priority based on 60/145607 is noted.
- 2. Claims 1-23 are pending.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371® of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 11, 14, 15-18, 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kleiman, U.S. patent 5,959,945. Kleiman shows an entertainment unit (IT1) coupled to a LAN (R1) coupled to a WAN(G1). The nature of the units (a desktop-type computer) indicates that a graphic interface, a user input, and a pair of speakers will be present to play the music.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/519,008 Page 3

Art Unit: 2167

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-4, 6, 11-12, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable. The examiner takes official notice that:

IBM PC-compatible computers are widely known, and are widely known to be capable of playing downloaded music from the Internet through their associated speakers.

The use of both LAN's and WAN's to connect these machines to the Internet is widely known.

The use of IR controlled peripherals particularly keyboards and mice, is widely known.

The use of an entertainment unit (the PC) to provide the user with entertainment consisting of music through the LAN which is in turn connected to a WAN would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to take advantage of the basic capabilities of the PC. The use of infrared keyboards and mice on these keyboards would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to free the user from a desktop cluttered with wires.

An effective traverse of this official notice must include a statement that either (a) applicant believes that the noted facts were untrue as of 3/2/1999 (one year and one day before the filing date) or (b) applicant is unaware of the truth of these noted facts as of 3/2/1999.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/519;008

Art Unit: 2167

Claims 1-3, 5-11, 13-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kleiman as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bernstein et al., U.S. patent 6,078,848. Kleiman shows a distribution system for music in Fig. 1 to PCS. Bernstein et al. teaches that it is desirable to place PCS in kiosks in public locations and provide card reader and biometric input to determine user identity for an account. The use of the kiosk of Bernstein et al. in the distribution scheme of Kleiman et al. Would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to provide the entertainment services of the jukebox scheme to the customers of Bernstein et al. Note the attract loop of Bernstein et al. at Col. 5 line 61.

### Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Martin shows a relevant digital jukebox system. Castille and Anderson show relevant transmission systems for music.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Morse whose telephone number is (703) 308-4789.

MORSE/gam October 1, 2001 GREGORY A MORSE PRIMARY EXAMINER